

Corrigendum to Proposers regarding the Call for Proposal (CFP) for Appointment of Operators for Kaki Bukit and Woodlands Recreation Centre (RC)

Questions	Answers
<p>1. Currently, there are many local visitors frequenting Sheng Siong and the canteen at Woodlands RC. Have these visitors been excluded from the KPI metrics? If not, the KPI figures may be inflated, potentially affecting the assessment if the operator focuses primarily on serving migrant workers.</p>	<p>Current visitorship by locals to Woodlands and Kaki Bukit Recreation Centres (RCs) was not taken into consideration in determining the Migrant Worker visitorship KPIs for the respective RCs. MOM only considered current Migrant Worker visitorship and the catchment size of Migrant Workers residing in nearby dormitories in determining the KPIs for both RCs.</p>
<p>2. If the current operator removes fixed assets such as room/office air-conditioning units or canteen exhaust systems, will the appointed operator be allowed to reinstall them and seek reimbursement from MOM?</p>	<p>Proposers shall factor the cost of installation of any required items to ensure smooth operations of the RCs within their Proposed Grant Amount (as part of set-up costs for Year 1). For avoidance of doubt, these items are considered to be within the scope of operations and maintenance of the RC and will not be considered for reimbursement under Clause 4.12 on Additional Funds.</p>
<p>3. Will daily operational and maintenance costs of facilities be covered under the grant, or should the appointed operators seek separate reimbursement?</p>	<p>As specified in Clause 3.2(f) of Schedule 1 - Funding Agreement, proposers are to factor such costs within their Proposed Grant Amount to be submitted in Annex C.</p> <p>For avoidance of doubt, reimbursement is only for works approved under Clause 4.12 on Additional Funds, subject to MOM's approval. The additional funding under Clause 4.12 may include the fund(s) for major renovations and repairs above the scope of maintenance that the appointed Operator is responsible for as part of daily operations under this Agreement.</p>
<p>4. Are proposers required to include building inspection costs in the operational budget, or will MOM cover these expenses separately?</p>	<p>As specified in Clause 3.2(f) of Schedule 1 - Funding Agreement, proposers are required to factor the cost of building inspection(s) required as part of operation and maintenance of the RCs during the duration of the Agreement within their Proposed</p>

	Grant Amount in Annex C. MOM will not cover these expenses separately.
5. For the renovation of the MPH at the recreation centres, will the cost be reimbursed by MOM?	<p>Proposers should not include the plans and costs of any major renovation or infrastructural works in their proposal and Proposed Grant Amount.</p> <p>Should the appointed operator have plans for major renovation works and require additional funding, the appointed operator can subsequently propose and request for Additional Funds under Clause 4.12 of the Funding Agreement, subject to MOM's approval.</p>
6. For small shops within the recreation centres, who will bear the cost of installing additional power points and the associated Licensed Electrical Worker (LEW) fees??	As specified in clause 3.2(ff) of Schedule 1 - Funding Agreement, the appointed operator has discretion over the tenant mix in the RC. It is for the appointed operator and the sub-tenants for the commercial spaces within the RC to agree on who should bear the costs of installing additional power points if required. MOM will not cover these expenses separately.
7. For carparks that are currently in poor condition, will MOM reimburse the cost of repairs, or should these be included in the grant request?	Cost of repair can be included within the Proposed Grant Amount (as part of set-up costs for Year 1).
8. Can the events submitted for Clause 2.1(b) of Part 1: Section A – Instructions for Proposals and Annex D – Track Record in organising MW-centric programmes be the same?	Yes
9. With regards to Clause 3.2(n) – Application of Green Mark Certification of Schedule 1 - Funding Agreement, does the scope of the application include fees and consultancy services for conducting a gap analysis, fulfilling energy audit requirements, ensuring licensing compliance, and identifying areas for	Yes, it includes the actions/items listed in the question. The scope is to apply for Green Mark Certification for the recreation centre. You can refer to the Green Mark Certification Scheme for more information. If there are any major works required to achieve the Green Mark Certification, the awarded operator may request for additional funding to carry out the works, under Clause 4.12 on

<p>improvement to achieve certification standards?</p>	<p>Additional Funds, subject to MOM's approval.</p>
<p>10. With regards to Clause 3.2(hh)(ii)C. – CCTV coverage of Schedule 1 – Funding Agreement, is there an existing CCTV system installed on site, or will a new system need to be implemented?</p>	<p>The existing CCTV system belongs to the current operator (within common spaces) and sub-tenants (within their shop spaces). The current operators and sub-tenants are not obliged to leave behind the existing CCTV system.</p> <p>As specified in Clause 3.2(hh)(ii)C. of Schedule 1 - Funding Agreement, proposers shall ensure provision of sufficient CCTV coverage of the perimeter of the RC and common spaces. This includes, but is not limited to, the entrances and exits of the RCs, and event spaces such as multi-purpose halls. Proposers should factor in the costs for CCTV system within their Proposed Grant Amount.</p>
<p>11. With regard to Clause 3.2(ii) and Clause 3.2(jj) – Facilities Management of Schedule 1 – Funding Agreement, can MOM please provide the current list & quantity of installed equipment and any existing licenses available on site?</p>	<p>MOM does not have such a list as the existing installed equipment belongs to the current operator, and the current operator is not obliged to leave the equipment behind. As specified in clause 3.2(f) of Schedule 1 - Funding Agreement, proposers are required to factor in the costs for all licensing requirements.</p>